UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,581	06/15/2007	Lindsay K. Newcombe	105MC-036/UCL-101	8703
	7590 07/22/2019 aw Group , LLC	EXAMINER		
ONE N. LASAI 44TH FLOOR		SNOW, BRUCE EDWARD		
CHICAGO, IL	60602		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/22/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/590,581	NEWCOMBE ET A	NEWCOMBE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Bruce E. Snow	3738				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>4/26/</u>	10					
·							
3)□	<i>,</i> —						
J)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	closed in accordance with the practice under 2	x parte Quayre, 1999 O.B. 11,	+00 O. O . 210.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.						
.—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>27</u> is/are allowed.						
′=	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5,8-10,15,22-24 and 26</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) <u>6,7,11-14,16-21 and 25</u> is/are objecte						
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
٥/١	and dasjout to recinetion and,						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice (3) Information	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments and amendments filed April 26, 2010 have been fully considered and overcame most of the rejections using Weddendorf. Note that a new rejection has been made to address the applicant's amendments; said rejection has to be fully applied to all claims not just the amended claims.

Drawings


The drawings are objected to because figure 6A is not labeled. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3738

Drawings

Page 3

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show the curved elements 15 and 17 being curved in at least figures 1 and 2. Note they are shown being curved in figure 4.



Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief

Application/Control Number: 10/590,581 Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: "resilient biasing mechanism" and "adjustment means (claim 24)".

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: adjustment means (claim 24).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4, "the resilient baising means" conflicts with the "biasing force" of claim 1.

Claim 26 is not understood. What is the biasing mechanism which includes means for applying at least two biasing forces?

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 27 is allowed.

Claims 6-7, 11-14, 16-21, 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102


The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 8-10, 15, 22-24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gramnas (6,302,918).

Gramnas teaches an apparatus fully capable of attaching prosthetic limb to a bone.



Said apparatus comprising a proximal component and a distal component moveably coupled via a coupling body including at least element 18 with respect to each other in at least axial rotation. The apparatus is in a fixed relationship at a first force level and upon a higher predetermined force which exceeds the resilient biasing mechanisms (elements 19 and 20), the proximal and distal component can rotate relatively.

At least claim 3, elements 18-20 are interpreted as be a "clutch-like mechanism".

Application/Control Number: 10/590,581


Art Unit: 3738

Claims 5, 23, 24, regarding the "adjustment means", see 3:24 et seq. teaching resilient member 19 can be exchanged for another member.

Claim 22, elements 18-20 are interpreted as the "automatically disengageable connector".

Claims 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weddendorf (5,314,500).

Weddendorf teaches an apparatus fully capable of attaching prosthetic limb to a bone.



Said apparatus comprising a proximal component and a distal component moveably coupled via a coupling body including at least elements 94, 54, 66 with respect to each other in at least axial rotation. Wherein a force placed on the proximal

Art Unit: 3738

and distal component higher then the shear strength of pin 66 the coupling body automatically disengages from a fixed angle relation.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce E. Snow whose telephone number is (571) 272-4759. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/590,581 Page 9

Art Unit: 3738

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Bruce E Snow/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3738